

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/914,718	KARUBE, ISAO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Peterson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2006

Time: 5:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Mr. Peterson to inquire whether a response had been sent to the Office Action of 16 August 2005. Mr. Peterson indicated that the application has been abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)